

ON CERTAIN ONE-COUNTER SHIFTS

WOLFGANG KRIEGER

Institute for Applied Mathematics
University of Heidelberg
Im Neuenheimer Feld 294
69120 Heidelberg, Germany

ABSTRACT. Extrapolating from the two-block system of an example of a nonsofic shift hat was given by Lind and Marcus, a class of one-counter shifts is described, that is disjoint from the class of standard one-counter shifts.

Keywords: subshift, strong synchronization, one-counter shift, Lind-Marcus one-counter shift.

AMS Subject Classification: 37B10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ be a finite alphabet. We use the notation

$$x_{[i,k]} = (x_i)_{i \leq j \leq k}, \quad x \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad i, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \leq k,$$

also setting for $a = x_{[i,k]}$ and $i \leq i' \leq k' \leq k$,

$$a_{[i',k']} = x_{[i',k']}.$$

A block $x_{[i,k]}$ will also denote the word that it carries. On the shift space $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, there acts the shift by

$$x \rightarrow (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

A closed shift invariant subset of $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is called a subshift. An introduction to the theory of subshifts is in the book by Lind and Marcus [LM]. A word is called admissible for a subshift if it appears in a point of the subshift. We denote the language of admissible words of a subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the length of a word $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ we denote by $\ell(a)$, and the set of $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ of length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$. The n -block system of a subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a subshift with alphabet $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ and a topological conjugacy of X onto its n -block system is given by

$$x \rightarrow (x_{[i,i+n]})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad (x \in X).$$

By using a matrix $(A(\sigma, \sigma'))_{\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma}$,

$$A(\sigma, \sigma') \in \{0, 1\} \quad \sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma,$$

as a transition matrix one obtains a subshift X_A by

$$X_A = \{(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} : A(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}) = 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

These subshifts X_A are called topological Markov shifts and they are special cases of subshifts of finite type $X_{\mathcal{F}}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set of words in the alphabet Σ (of length at least two), and where the subshift $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is obtained by excluding the words in $\mathcal{F}$ from $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$. With n the maximal length of a word in $\mathcal{F}$ the $(n-1)$ -block system of the subshift $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a topological Markov shift. The subshifts of finite type belong to the class of sofic systems that are obtained from finite directed graphs, in which every vertex has at least one outgoing and at least one incoming edge, and that are labeled with symbols from the alphabet Σ , with the language of admissible words of the sofic system equal to the set of label sequences of finite paths in the graph.

The coded system [BH] of a formal language $\mathcal{C}$ in a finite alphabet Σ is the subshift that is obtained as the closure of the set of points in $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that carry bi-infinite concatenations of words in $\mathcal{C}$. $\mathcal{C}$ can here always be chosen to be a prefix code. More generally, a Markov code (see [Ke]) is given by a formal language $\mathcal{C}$ of words in a finite alphabet Σ together with a finite index set Γ , mappings $s : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma, t : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and a transition matrix $(A(\gamma, \gamma'))_{\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma}, A(\gamma, \gamma') \in \{0, 1\}, \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. From a Markov code $(\mathcal{C}, s, t)$ one obtains its Markov coded system as the subshift that is the closure of the set of points $x \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that there are indices $i_k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i_k < i_{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $x_{[i_k, i_{k+1})} \in \mathcal{C}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and such that

$$A(t(x_{[i_{k-1}, i_k)}), s(x_{[i_k, i_{k+1})))) = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Given a subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ a word $v \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called synchronizing if for $u, w \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $uv, vw \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ also $uvw \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. A topologically transitive subshift is called synchronizing if it has a synchronizing word. As in [KM] we say that a synchronizing subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is strongly synchronizing if there exists a $Q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that the following holds: if $x \in X$ and $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}, I_- < I_+$ are such that $x_{[I_-, I_+]}$ is synchronizing, then there exists an index $i, I_- - Q \leq i \leq I_+ + Q$, such that x_i is a synchronizing symbol. Denote for a strongly synchronizing subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ its set of synchronizing symbols by $\Sigma_{\text{synchrono}}(X)$ and by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the set of words in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ of length at least two that begin and end with a synchronizing symbol with no synchronizing symbol in between. $\mathcal{B}(X)$ determines Markov codes $\mathcal{C}^-(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}^+(X)$ that have as their index set Γ a set of subsets of $\Sigma_{\text{synchrono}}(X)$. The Markov code $\mathcal{C}^-(X)$ contains the words that are obtained by removing from the words in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ their last symbols, and for a word $c \in \mathcal{C}^-(X)$ the set $t(c)$ is equal to the set of synchronizing symbols that can follow c and the set $s(c)$ is equal to the singleton set that contains the first symbol of c , and the positive entries of the transition matrix of the Markov code are given by

$$A(\Sigma_0, \{\sigma\}) = 1, \quad \Sigma_0 \in \{\{t(c) \mid c \in \mathcal{C}^-(X)\}\}, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_0, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_{\text{synchrono}}(X).$$

$\mathcal{C}^+(X)$ is symmetric to $\mathcal{C}^-(X)$. A strongly synchronizing subshift X is the Markov coded system of $\mathcal{C}^-(X)$, as well as of $\mathcal{C}^+(X)$, and it is seen that X can be reconstructed from $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

A formal language is said to be a one-counter language if its words can be recognized by a push-down automaton with one stack symbol. We say that a strongly

synchronizing subshift X is a one-counter shift, if $\mathcal{B}(X)$ (or $\mathcal{C}^-(X)$, or $\mathcal{C}^+(X)$) as a formal language is a one-counter language. In [KM] the class of standard one-counter shifts $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ was introduced by specifying structural properties of $\mathcal{C}^-(X)$. With the alphabet $\{a, b, c\}$ prototypical examples of standard one-counter shifts are the coded systems of the code

$$\mathcal{C}_{reset} = \{ab^k c^l : k, l \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq k\},$$

and of the code

$$\mathcal{C}_{counter} = \{ab^k c^l : k, l \in \mathbb{N}, l = k\}.$$

The coded system of $\mathcal{C}_{reset}$ is a prototypical example of what was called in [KM] a one-counter shift with reset, and the coded system of $\mathcal{C}_{counter}$ is a prototypical example of what was called in [KM] a one-counter shift without reset. The class of standard one-counter shifts with reset is not closed under taking inverses.

In the present paper our starting point is the two-block system of a subshift that appeared as an example of a nonsofic subshift in the book by Lind and Marcus ([LM], Example 1.2.9). We introduce this subshift, that we call the Lind-Marcus shift, as the subshift with alphabet $\{a, b, c\}$ that does not allow the words $ab^k c^l a$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $k \neq l$ (as in [Ma1], see also [Ma2] section 3). In other words, the Lind-Marcus shift is the coded system of the code that contains besides the words $ab^k c^l$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the words that begin with a symbol a that is then followed by a word in the symbols b, c that is not equal to any of the words $b^k c^l$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $k \neq l$. In [M1] Kengo Matsumoto has computed the K-groups of the Lind-Marcus shift. He also showed that the C^* -algebra that is associated to the Lind-Marcus shift is simple and purely infinite.

In section 2 we look at the two-block system of the Lind-Marcus shift. In section 3, abstracting properties of the two-block system of the Lind-Marcus shift, we describe a class of one-counter shifts that is disjoint from the class of standard one-counter shifts. We call the subshifts in this class Lind-Marcus one-counter shifts. The class of Lind-Marcus one-counter shifts is closed under taking inverses. Because of the time symmetry we find it appropriate to identify the class of Lind-Marcus one-counter shifts $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by specifying structural properties of $\mathcal{B}(X)$, rather than of $\mathcal{C}^-(X)$ (or of $\mathcal{C}^+(X)$).

Recall that, given subshifts $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and a topological conjugacy $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, there is for some $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ a block map $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{X}_{[-L, L]} \rightarrow \Sigma$, such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{x}) = (\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{x}_{[i-L, i+L]}))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}.$$

We will use the notation

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{a}) = (\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{a}_{[i-L, i+L]}))_{I_- - L \leq i \leq I_+ + L}, \quad \tilde{a} \in \tilde{X}_{[I_-, I_+]}, I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}, I_- \leq I_+.$$

In section 4 we show that in a situation where one is given subshifts $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\tilde{X}$ a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift, and a topological conjugacy $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ that is given by a one-block map $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$, such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{synchronro}(X)) \subset \Sigma_{synchronro}(\tilde{X}),$$

one has that $\tilde{X}$ is also a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift. This leads one to subshifts of Lind-Marcus one-counter type, that one introduces as the subshifts that have

an n -block system that is a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift, and to the result that a subshift that is topologically conjugate to a subshift of Lind-Marcus one-counter type is itself a subshift of Lind-Marcus one-counter type. Compare here the relationship between topological Markov shifts and subshifts of finite type (also see Section 3c of [KM]). The proof of simplicity and pure infiniteness of the associated C^* -algebra [M1, M2] carries over to the subshifts of Lind-Marcus one-counter type.

2. THE TWO-BLOCK SYSTEM OF THE LIND-MARCUS SHIFT

Let Y be the two-block system of the Lind-Marcus shift. A word $(u_i)_{1 \leq i \leq I}$, $I > 1$, that is admissible for the Lind-Marcus shift, determines a word $(u)^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ that is admissible for Y by

$$(u)^{\langle 2 \rangle} = (u_i u_{i+1})_{1 \leq i < I}.$$

Lemma 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(Y) = & \{(ab^k c^k a)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \cup \{(cb^k c^l b)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \cup \\ & \{(cb^k c^l a)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k, l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(cb^k c^l b)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \\ & \{(ac^l b)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \cup \{(cb^k a)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The synchronizing symbols of Y are

$$aa, \quad ab, \quad ac, \quad ba, \quad ca, \quad cb,$$

and the set of words in $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ that do not contain a synchronizing symbol is equal to

$$\{(b^k c^l)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k + l > 1\}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.2. Y is strongly synchronizing.

Proof. The set of non-synchronizing words in $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ coincides with the set of words in $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ that do not contain a synchronizing symbol. $\square$

3. LIND-MARCUS ONE-COUNTER SHIFTS

Given a subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ we set

$$X_{[i,k]} = \{x_{[i,k]} : x \in X\}, \quad i, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \leq k.$$

We also set

$$\Gamma_n^-(a) = \{b \in \mathcal{L}_n(X) : ba \in \mathcal{L}(X)\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\Gamma^-(a) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n^-(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{L}(X).$$

Γ^+ has the symmetric meaning.

We recall some notions and results from [KM]. Let X be a topologically transitive subshift. We say that a pair $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ of fixed points of X is a characteristic pair of fixed points of X if it is the unique pair of fixed points of X

that satisfies the following conditions (a), (b) and (c⁻) and a condition (c⁺) that is symmetric to (c⁻):

(a) There is an orbit O_X in X that contains all points that are left asymptotic to $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and right asymptotic to $(\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and that do not contain a synchronizing word.

(b) X has a point that is left asymptotic to $(\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and right asymptotic to $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and that contains a synchronizing word.

(c⁻) There exists a $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds: If $x \in X$ and $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}, I_- \leq I_+$ are such that x is right asymptotic to $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $x_{[I_-, I_+]}$ is synchronizing, and $x_{[I_+, I_++k]}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is not synchronizing, then there exists an index i , $I_- < i \leq I_+ + K$ such that $x_j = \alpha_-, j \geq i$.

If $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ is a characteristic pair of fixed point of X , and if φ is a topological conjugacy of a subshift $\tilde{X}$ onto X then $(\varphi^{-1}((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}), \varphi^{-1}((\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}))$ is a characteristic fixed point of $\tilde{X}$.

Given a fixed point $(\alpha)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of a subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and given a symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\text{synchron}}$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\sigma, \alpha)$ the set of words d^- such that

$$\sigma d^- \in \Gamma^-(\alpha^k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and such that in case that d^- is not empty, d^- does not contain a synchronizing symbol and ends in a symbol that is different from α . A set $\mathcal{D}(\alpha, \sigma)$ of words is defined symmetrically. We set for a strongly synchronizing subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with a characteristic pair $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of fixed points

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_-(X) &= \{\sigma_- \in \Sigma_{\text{synchron}} : \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \Sigma_+^-(X) &= \{\sigma_- \in \Sigma_{\text{synchron}} : \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_+) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \Sigma_+(X) &= \{\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_{\text{synchron}} : \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \Sigma_+^-(X) &= \{\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_{\text{synchron}} : \mathcal{D}(\alpha_-, \sigma_+) \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

The sets $\Sigma_-(X)$ and $\Sigma_+(X)$ are not empty and the sets $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$, $\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+)$, $\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X)$ are finite.

Let $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a subshift with a characteristic pair $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of fixed points. Let $x \in O_X$. If for some $i_o \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \alpha_-, & i \leq i_o, \\ x_i &= \alpha_+, & i > i_o \end{aligned}$$

then set c_X equal to the empty word. Otherwise determine $i_-, i_+ \in \mathbb{Z}, i_- < i_+$, by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \alpha_-, & i < i_-, \\ x_{i_-} &\neq \alpha_-, \\ x_{i_+} &\neq \alpha_+, \\ x_i &= \alpha_+, & i > i_+ \end{aligned}$$

and set c_X equal to the word $x_{[i_-, i_+]}$.

In preparation of the introduction of the Lind-Marcus one-counter shifts we formulate for a strongly synchronizing subshift with a characteristic pair of fixed

points $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ two conditions (R^-) and (R^+) that are symmetric to one another. Condition (R^-) is as follows:

(R^-) There exists an $R^- \geq \ell(c_X)$, such that, if

$$\sigma_-^+ \in \Sigma_-^+(X), d_+^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-^+, \alpha_+), \ell(d_+^-) > R^-,$$

then $\sigma_-^+ \in \Sigma_-(X)$ and there is a $d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-^+, \alpha_-)$ such that

$$d_+^- = d_- \alpha_-^{\ell(d_+^-) - \ell(d^-) - \ell(c_X)} c_X.$$

For a subshift X that satisfies condition (R^-) we denote by $R^-(X)$ the smallest R^- such that (R^-) holds. $R^+(X)$ is defined symmetrically.

For a strongly synchronizing subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with a characteristic pair $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ of fixed points, that satisfies conditions (R^-) and (R^+) , we denote by $\Xi_-(X)$ ($\Xi_-^+(X)$) the set of words $\sigma_- d^-, \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$ ($\sigma_-^+ d_+^-, \sigma_-^+ \in \Sigma_-^+(X), d_+^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-^+, \alpha_+)$), such that there is a $K_- \in \mathbb{N}$ ($K_+^+ \in \mathbb{N}$) such that for $\sigma_+^- \in \Sigma_+^-(X), d_+^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_+^-, \alpha_+)$ ($\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_+, \alpha_+)$), and for $k_- \geq K_-$ ($k_+^+ \geq K_+^+$) the word $\sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} d_+^- \sigma_-$ ($\sigma_-^+ d_+^+ \alpha_+^{k_+^+} d^+ \sigma_+$) is admissible for X . Also, in the case that $\Xi_-(X) \neq \emptyset$ we denote by $K^-(X)$ ($K_+^+(X)$) the smallest $K^- \in \mathbb{N}$ ($K_+^+ \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$\sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} d_+^- \sigma_- \in \mathcal{L}(X), \quad k_- > K^-, \sigma_- d^- \in \Xi_-(X)$$

$$(\sigma_-^+ d_+^+ \alpha_+^{k_+^+} d^+ \sigma_+ \in \mathcal{L}(X), \quad k_+^+ > K_+^+, \sigma_-^+ d_+^+ \in \Xi_-^+(X)),$$

where we observe, that by condition (R^+) ,

$$(1) \quad \{\sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X : k_- > K_-\} \subset \Xi_-^+(X).$$

$\Xi_+(X), \Xi_+^-(X), K_+(X), K_+^-(X)$ have the symmetric meaning. We will find it necessary that for long words the converse inclusions to (1) and to its symmetric counterpart hold, and in order to ensure this, we impose on a strongly synchronizing subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with a characteristic pair $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ of fixed points, that satisfies conditions (R^-) and (R^+) , two conditions (R_{Ξ}^-) and (R_{Ξ}^+) , that are symmetric to one another. (R_{Ξ}^-) is as follows:

(R_{Ξ}^-) There exists an $R_{\Xi}^- \geq R^-(X)$, such that, if

$$\sigma_-^+ d_+^- \in \Xi_-^+(X), \ell(d_+^-) > R_{\Xi}^-,$$

then $\sigma_-^+ \in \Sigma_-(X)$ and there is a $d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-^+, \alpha_-)$ such that $\sigma_-^+ d^- \in \Xi_-(X)$, and

$$d_+^- = d_- \alpha_-^{\ell(d_+^-) - \ell(d^-) - \ell(c_X)} c_X.$$

For a subshift X that satisfies conditions (R_{Ξ}^-) we denote by $R_{\Xi}^-(X)$ the smallest such that (R_{Ξ}^-) holds. $R_{\Xi}^-(X)$ is defined symmetrically.

For a strongly synchronizing subshift $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that has a characteristic pair of fixed points, and that satisfies conditions $(R^-), (R^+), (R_{\Xi}^-), (R_{\Xi}^+)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_-, \alpha_+) = \\ \{ \sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+ \sigma_+ : \sigma_- d^- \in \Xi_-(X), \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+), \\ k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}, \ell(d^-) + k_- + \ell(c_X) > R_{\Xi}^-(X), \ell(c_X) + k_- + \ell(d^-) > R_{\Xi}^+(X) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_-, \alpha_+, \Xi)$ is defined symmetrically. Also set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_-) = \{ \sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} d_+^+ \sigma_+^- : \\ \sigma_- d^- \in \Xi_-(X), \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+), \\ k_- \in \mathbb{N}, \ell(d^-) + k_- + \ell(c_X) > R_{\Xi}^-(X), \ell(d_+^+) \leq R^+(X) \}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_+) = \{ \sigma_-^+ d_+^- \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+ \sigma_+ : \\ \sigma_-^+ d_+^- \in \Xi_+^+(X), \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+), \\ \ell(d_+^-) \leq R_{\Xi}^-(X), k_+ \in \mathbb{N}, \ell(c_X) + k_+ + \ell(d^+) > R^+(X) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_+, \Xi)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_-, \Xi)$ are defined symmetrically. Also, given $J_-, J_+ \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and mappings

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_-, d^-) \rightarrow \Delta^-(\sigma_-, d^-) \subset \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}^-(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), \sigma_- d^- \notin \Xi_-(X), \\ (d^+, \sigma_+) \rightarrow \Delta^+(d^+, \sigma_+) \subset \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}^-(\alpha_+, \sigma_+), d^+ \sigma_+ \notin \Xi_+(X), \end{aligned}$$

set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta^-, J_-, J_+, \Delta^+) = \\ \{ \sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+ \sigma_+ : \\ \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), \sigma_- d^- \notin \Xi_-(X), \\ \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+), d^+ \sigma_+ \notin \Xi_+(X), \\ k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}, \ell(d^-) + k_- + \ell(c_X) > R^-(X), \ell(c_X) + k_+ + \ell(d^-) > R^+(X), \\ (\Delta^-(\sigma_-, d^-) + k_- + J_-) \cap (J_+ + k_+ + \Delta^+(d^+, \sigma_+)) \neq \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

We define a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift as a strongly synchronizing subshift with a characteristic pair of fixed points, that satisfies conditions $(R^-), (R^+), (R_{\Xi}^-), (R_{\Xi}^+)$, and that is such that

$$\Xi_-(X) \neq \emptyset, \quad \{ \sigma_- d^- : \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-) \} \setminus \Xi_-(X) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\Xi_+(X) \neq \emptyset, \quad \{ d^+ \sigma_+ : \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+) \} \setminus \Xi_+(X) \neq \emptyset,$$

and such that there are $J_-, J_+ \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and mappings

$$(\sigma_-, d^-) \rightarrow \Delta^-(\sigma_-, d^-) \subset \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}^-(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), \sigma_- d^- \notin \Xi_-(X),$$

$$(d^+, \sigma_+) \rightarrow \Delta^+(d^+, \sigma_+) \subset \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}^-(\alpha_+, \sigma_+), d^+ \sigma_+ \notin \Xi_+(X),$$

and an $I \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(LM)

$$\begin{aligned} \{b \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \ell(b) > I\} = & \{b \in \mathcal{B}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta^-, J_-, J_+, \Delta^+) \cup \\ & \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_-, \alpha_+) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_-, \alpha_+, \Xi) \cup \\ & \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_-) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_-, \Xi) \cup \\ & \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_+) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_+, \Xi) : \ell(b) > I\}. \end{aligned}$$

If (LM) holds then we say that $J_-, J_+, \Delta^-, \Delta^+$ are parameters for the Lind-Marcus shift X .

Y is a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift. One has

$$\Sigma_-(Y) = \{ab, cb\}, \quad \Sigma_-^+(Y) = \{ac\},$$

$$\Sigma_+(Y) = \{ca, cb\}, \quad \Sigma_+^-(Y) = \{ba\},$$

the sets $\mathcal{D}(ab, bb), \mathcal{D}(cb, bb), \mathcal{D}(ac, cc)$ and the sets $\mathcal{D}(cc, ca), \mathcal{D}(cc, cb), \mathcal{D}(bb, ba)$ are here equal to the singleton set that contains the empty word, and

$$\Xi_-(Y) = \Xi_+(Y) = \{cb\},$$

$$\Xi_-^+(Y) = \{(ab^k c)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}, \quad \Xi_+^-(Y) = \{(bc^k a)^{\langle 2 \rangle} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

From Lemma (2.1) it can be seen that (LM) holds for Y .

With the alphabet $\{b, c\} \cup \{a_n : 1 \leq n \leq N\}, N > 1$, one has Lind-Marcus one-counter shifts, that are closely patterned after the Lind-Marcus shift, and the 2-block systems of that do not allow the words $a_n b^k c^l a_m, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \neq l, 1 \leq n, m \leq N$. In [M2] Kengo Matsumoto has computed the K-groups of these Lind-Marcus one-counter shifts.

For another example of a Lind-Marcus counter shift that is patterned after the 2-block system of the Lind-Marcus shift, take the alphabet $\{a, b, c, d\}$, is the synchronizing shift X such that

$$\mathcal{C}^-(X) = \{ab^k c^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \cup \{ab^k c^l : k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \cup \{db^k c^l : k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} t(ab^k c^k) &= \{a\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ t(ab^k c^l) &= \{a, d\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \neq l, \\ t(db^k c^l) &= \{a, d\} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

4. TOPOLOGICAL CONJUGACY

For the proof of the next lemma compare the proof of Lemma 3.10 in [KM]. We will begin the proof by recalling a construction from Section 3 b of [KM].

Lemma 4.1. *Let there be given subshifts $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and a topological conjugacy $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ that is given by a one-block map $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$, such that*

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\text{synchro}}(X) \subset \Sigma_{\text{synchro}}(\tilde{X}).$$

Let X be a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift. Then $\tilde{X}$ is also a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift.

Proof. Let the inverse of $\tilde{\varphi}$ be given by for some $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by a block map $\Phi : X_{[-L, L]} \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$. Let $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ be the characteristic pair of fixed points of X , and set $(\tilde{\alpha}_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$, $(\tilde{\alpha}_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}((\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$. Also choose a $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for a synchronizing word a of X and for $a^- \in \Gamma_Q^-(a)$, $a^+ \in \Gamma_Q^+(a)$ the word a^-aa^+ contains a synchronizing symbol.

For $\tilde{\sigma}_- \in \tilde{\Sigma}_-(\tilde{X})$, $\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)$, one can set

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) = b^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) \sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-),$$

where the words $b^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$ and $a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$ and the symbol $\sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$ are uniquely determined by $\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-$ under the condition that $\sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$ is synchronizing and that $a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$ does not contain a synchronizing symbol. We set

$$I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) = \ell(a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)),$$

and for $\tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-)$ we denote by $d^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)$ the longest prefix of the word $a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{d}^-) \alpha_-$ that is in $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \alpha_-)$.

A converse construction yields for given $\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X)$ and $d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$ a $\tilde{\sigma}_- \in \Sigma_-(\tilde{X})$ and $\tilde{b}_- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)$, $\tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \alpha_-)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \sigma_- = \sigma_- (\tilde{b}_- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \quad d^- = (\tilde{b}_- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-).$$

With a $c^- \in \Gamma_{Q+2L}^-(\sigma_-)$, one lets $\tilde{\sigma}_-$ be the last synchronizing symbol in the word $\Phi(c^- \sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{2L+1})$ and one lets $\tilde{d}^- (\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-)$ together with a $\tilde{c}^- \in \Gamma^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)$ be given with some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\Phi(c^- \sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{2L+1}) = \tilde{c}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^q.$$

Let $\tilde{b}^-$ be the suffix of length $Q + L$ of $\tilde{c}^-$. Then (1) will hold.

σ_+^+ and d_+^+ have the analogous meaning, and $I_+, \sigma_+, d_+^+, \sigma_+^-, d_+^+$ have the symmetric meaning.

We prove that

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\Xi}_-(\tilde{X}) \neq \emptyset,$$

and that

$$(3) \quad \{\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{d}^- : \tilde{\sigma}_- \in \Sigma_-(\tilde{X}), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-)\} \setminus \tilde{\Xi}_-(\tilde{X}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\sigma}_- \in \Sigma_-(\tilde{X}), \quad \tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-), \quad \tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-).$$

To prove (2) and (3) we show that

$$(4) \quad \sigma_-(\tilde{b}_-\tilde{\sigma}_-)d^-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^-) \in \Xi_-(X),$$

if and only if

$$(5) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^- \in \Xi_-(\tilde{X}).$$

Assume (4), let

$$\tilde{\sigma}_+^- \in \Sigma_+^-(\tilde{X}), \quad \tilde{d}_-^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\sigma}_+^-),$$

and let

$$(6) \quad k_- > \max(2L, K_-(X)).$$

(4) and (6) imply that for $\tilde{b}_-^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+^-)$ the word

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_-(\tilde{b}_-\tilde{\sigma}_-)d^-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^-) \\ & \alpha_-^{\ell(\tilde{d}^-)-\ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^-))+I_-(\tilde{b}_-\tilde{\sigma}_-)+k_-+I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+)-\ell(d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+))+\ell(\tilde{d}_-^+)} \\ & d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+)\sigma_+^-(\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+) \end{aligned}$$

is in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. It follows that

$$\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^-\tilde{\alpha}_-^{k_-}\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^- \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}),$$

and (5) is shown.

Assume (5), and let

$$\sigma_+^- \in \Sigma_+^-(X), \quad d_-^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_-, \sigma_+^-).$$

Choose

$$\tilde{\sigma}_+^- \in \Sigma_+^-(\tilde{X}), \quad \tilde{d}_-^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\sigma}_+^-), \quad \tilde{b}_-^+ \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+^-),$$

such that

$$\sigma_+^- = \sigma_+^-(\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+), \quad d_-^+ = d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+),$$

and let

$$(7) \quad \tilde{k}_- > \max(2L, K_-(\tilde{X})).$$

(5) and (7) imply that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^-\tilde{\alpha}_-^{\ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^-)-\ell(\tilde{d}^-)-I_-(\tilde{b}_-\tilde{\sigma}_-)+\tilde{k}_--I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+)+\ell(d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}_-^+)-\ell(\tilde{d}_-^+))}\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^- \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}).$$

It follows that

$$\sigma_-(\tilde{b}_-\tilde{\sigma}_-)d^-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-\tilde{d}^-)\alpha_-^{\tilde{k}_-}d_-^+\sigma_+^- \in \mathcal{L}(X),$$

and (4) is shown. The proof that

$$\tilde{\Xi}_+(\tilde{X}) \neq \emptyset, \quad \{\tilde{d}^+\tilde{\sigma}_+ : \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Sigma_-(\tilde{X}), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\alpha}_+, \tilde{\sigma}_+)\} \setminus \Xi_+(\tilde{X}) \neq \emptyset,$$

is symmetric.

We set

$$\mu_- = \max_{\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d_- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)} \ell(d_-),$$

μ_+ has the symmetric meaning.

We prove that $\tilde{X}$ satisfies condition (R^-) . For this let

$$\tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \in \Sigma(\tilde{X}), d_+^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-^+, \alpha_+),$$

such that

$$(8) \quad \ell(d_+^-) > \max(R^-(X) + L, \mu^- + 2L),$$

and let $\tilde{b}_+^- \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-^+)$. By (8)

$$\ell(d_+^-(\tilde{b}_+^- \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ d_+^-)) > R^-(X),$$

and it follows that

$$\sigma_-^+(\tilde{b}_+^- \tilde{\sigma}_-^+) \in \Sigma_-(X),$$

and that there is a

$$d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-^+(\tilde{b}_+^- \tilde{\sigma}_-^+), \alpha_-)$$

such that

$$d_+^-(\tilde{b}_+^- \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ d_+^-) = d^- \alpha_-^{\ell(d_+^-(\tilde{b}_+^- \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ d_+^-)) - \ell(d^-) - \ell(c_X)} c_X.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \in \Sigma_-(\tilde{X}),$$

and with $\tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-^+, \tilde{\alpha}_-)$ such that

$$d^- = d^-(\tilde{b}_+^- \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}^-),$$

one has

$$\tilde{d}_+^- = \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{\ell(\tilde{d}_+^-) - \ell(\tilde{d}^-) - \ell(c_{\tilde{X}})}.$$

The proof that $\tilde{X}$ satisfies condition (R^+) is symmetric.

We prove that $\tilde{X}$ satisfies conditions (R_{Ξ}^-) . For this let $\tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_-^+ \in \Xi_-^+(X)$,

$$(9) \quad \ell(\tilde{d}_-^+) > \max(\mu^-(X) + \ell(c_X) + 2L, R_{\Xi}^-(X) + L),$$

and let $\tilde{b}_-^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-^+)$. Then one has that $\sigma_-^+(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+) d_+^-(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_-^+) \in \Xi_-^+(X)$. By (9), $\ell(d_+^-(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_-^+)) > R_{\Xi}^-(X)$, and it follows that $\sigma_-^+(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+) \in \Sigma_-(X)$, and that there is a $d_- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-^+(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+), \alpha_-)$ such that $\sigma_-^+(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+) d_- \in \Xi_-^+(X)$, and

$$d_+^-(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_-^+) = d_- \alpha_-^{\ell(d_+^-(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_-^+)) - \ell(d_-) - \ell(c_X)} c_X.$$

By (9)

$$(10) \quad \ell(d_+^-(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_-^+)) - \ell(d_-) - \ell(c_X) > 2L.$$

It follows that $\tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \in \Sigma_-(\tilde{X})$, and that one has with $\tilde{d}_- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-^+, \tilde{\alpha}_-)$ such that

$$d_- = d_-(\tilde{b}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_-)$$

that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_-^+ \tilde{d}_- \in \Xi_-(\tilde{X}),$$

and as a consequence of (10) there

$$\tilde{d}_-^+ = \tilde{d}_- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{\ell(\tilde{d}_-^+) - \ell(\tilde{d}_-) - \ell(c_{\tilde{X}})} c_{\tilde{X}}.$$

The proof that $\tilde{X}$ satisfies condition (R_{Ξ}^+) is symmetric.

Let $I, J_-, J_+, \Delta_-, \Delta_+$ be parameters for X , and let

$$(11) \quad \tilde{I} \geq \max(I, \mu_- + \mu_+ + \ell(c_X) + 4L + 2, R_{\Xi}^- + R_{\Xi}^+ + 2Q + 2L).$$

For $\tilde{I}_-, \tilde{I}_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$\tilde{I}_+ - \tilde{I}_- > \tilde{I}$$

and for

$$\tilde{b} \in \tilde{X}_{[\tilde{I}_-, \tilde{I}_+]}$$

every choice of $\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}(\tilde{b})$, $\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{Q+L}(\tilde{b})$ determines $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$\tilde{I}_- - L - Q \leq I_- \leq \tilde{I}_-, \quad \tilde{I}_+ \leq I_+ \leq \tilde{I}_- + L + Q,$$

and such that the word

$$b = (\Phi(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{b}^+))_{[I_-, I_+]}$$

is in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Under the hypothesis (11), if here

$$b \in \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_-, \alpha_+) \quad (b \in \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_-, \alpha_+, \Xi)),$$

then, applying conditions (R_{Ξ}^-) and (R_{Ξ}^+) , one confirms that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\Xi, \tilde{\alpha}_-) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\Xi, \tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_+) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\Xi, \tilde{\alpha}_+) \\ & (\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_+, \Xi) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\alpha}_+, \Xi) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\alpha}_-, \Xi)), \end{aligned}$$

if here

$$b \in \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_-) \quad (b \in \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_+, \Xi)),$$

then, applying conditions (R_{Ξ}^-) and (R^+) ((R^-) and (R_{Ξ}^+)), one confirms that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\Xi, \tilde{\alpha}_-) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\Xi, \tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_+) \\ & (\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\alpha}_+, \Xi) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_+, \Xi)), \end{aligned}$$

and if here

$$b \in \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\Xi, \alpha_+) \quad (b \in \mathcal{B}^{(X)}(\alpha_-, \Xi)),$$

then, applying condition (R_{Ξ}^-) and (R^+) ((R^-) and (R_{Ξ}^+)), one confirms that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b} &\in \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\Xi, \tilde{\alpha}_+) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\Xi, \tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_+) \\ &(\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\alpha}_-, \Xi) \cup \mathcal{B}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\alpha}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_+, \Xi)). \end{aligned}$$

We define $H_-, H_+ \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(c_{\tilde{X}}) = \alpha_-^{H_-} c_X \alpha_+^{H_+},$$

and we set

$$\tilde{J}_- = J_- + H_-, \quad \tilde{J}_+ = J_+ + H_+.$$

Under the hypothesis (11), if here

$$b \in \mathcal{B}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta^-, J_-, J_+, \Delta^+)$$

then, setting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_-(\tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-) &= \bigcup_{\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)} \Delta_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)) + \ell(\tilde{d}^-) \\ &\quad - \ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)) + I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \\ &\quad \tilde{\sigma}_- \in \tilde{\Sigma}_-(\tilde{X}), \tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-), \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \notin \Xi_-(\tilde{X}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+) &= \bigcup_{\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)} I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) - \ell(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) \\ &\quad + \Delta_+(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)), \\ &\quad \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{d}^+ \notin \Xi_+(\tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

one confirms that

$$\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}_{counter}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\Delta}^-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}^+).$$

It can also be proved that

$$\mathcal{B}_{counter}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\Delta}^-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}^+) \subset \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}).$$

This means that $\tilde{I}, \tilde{\Delta}^-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}^+$ are parameters of the Lind-Marcus shift $\tilde{X}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *A subshift that is topologically conjugate to a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift has an n -block system that is a Lind-Marcus one-counter shift.*

Proof. Let $X_{\circ} \subset \Sigma_{\circ}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a subshift that is topologically conjugate to Lind-Markov one-counter shift. By Lemma 2.3 of [KM] $X_{\circ}$ has an n -block system $\tilde{X}$ such that there is a topological conjugacy $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ that is given by a one-block map $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$, such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{synchrono}(X)) \subset \Sigma_{synchrono}(\tilde{X}).$$

Apply Lemma 4.1. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BH] F. Blanchard and G. Hansel, *Systèmes codés*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **44** (1986), 17 – 49.
- [Ke] G. Keller, *Circular codes, loop counting, and zeta-functions*, J. Combinatorial Theory **51** (1999), 75 – 83.
- [KM] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *Subshifts and C^* -algebras from one-counter codes*, arXiv: 0910.4719 [math.DS] (2009).
- [LM] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [M1] K. Matsumoto, *A simple C^* -algebras arising from a certain subshift*, J. Operator Theory **42** (1999), 351 – 370.
- [M2] K. Matsumoto, *A class of simple C^* -algebras arising from certain nonsofic shifts*, arXiv: 0805.2767 [math.OA] (2006).

e-mail:

krieger@math.uni-heidelberg.de